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IMPORTANT: THIS DOCUMENT IS MEANT FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES AND IS NOT INTENDED AS AN OFFICIAL ANALYSIS OF ANY OF THE BUDGETS CITED. IT IS INTENDED TO GIVE GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT FUNDING/SALARIES FOR PROSECUTORS AND DEFENDERS IN COLORADO IN 2022.

## OSPD SUPPORTS THE ADEQUATE FUNDING OF BOTH THE PROSECUTION AND DEFENSE FUNCTIONS.

## IMPORTANT POINTS REGARDING THIS INFORMATION

## Public Defender Appellate Division and AG Appellate Section-NOT INCLUDED

- This analysis DOES NOT include the funding allocated by the State of Colorado for OSPD Appellate Division.
- This analysis DOES NOT include the funding allocated by the State of Colorado for the Criminal Appellate Division of the State Attorney General's (AG) Office.

State level funding for Public Defender is included in regional office expenses but AG
Office and CDAC state funding is not included in DA offices budget

- This analysis DOES include a cost allocation to each regional PD office for all services and administration provided by the state administrative offices, which includes training, human resources, payroll, IT services and other statewide administrative, management and support services.
- It DOES NOT include funding provided by the State to the AG for criminal prosecutions and other support services for local DA offices.
- This analysis MOST OFTEN INCLUDES State funding provided directly to DA offices for salaries and other programs (e.g. elected DA salaries, DOC reimbursement, fellowship programs). After the review of most of the budgets provided by DA offices, it appears that these funds are largely included in the revenues accounted for by DA offices and reflected in their total budget.
- This analysis DOES NOT include the training dollars (\$350K) allocated to CDAC by State.
- This analysis DOES NOT include case management and e-discovery funds for CDAC.


## Overhead expenses

- In most cases, local DA offices do not pay rent or utilities for their office space(s) which are provided by the counties in each judicial district but they do pay for maintenance costs. This can be seen through the operating expenses line items.
- OSPD pays for all rent, utilities and maintenance for all 21 regional offices throughout the state. This is reflected in the operating expenses line item. The judicial department provides office space and utilities for the State and Appellate Offices of OSPD.


## Various law Enforcement and CBI resources provided to DA offices NOT included

- This analysis DOES NOT (AND CANNOT) include the cost benefits related to law enforcement services provided to DA offices ( e.g. local law enforcement, state law enforcement, CBI etc.) or support services provided by other state agencies to DA offices for the prosecution of a criminal case.
- Forensic testing and other support services necessary for the defense of a criminally accused client are generally not provided to OSPD unless paid/purchased. However, investigators are included as other FTE staff.
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## I. Total FTE and Budget by Judicial District

| Judicial District Level Agency Support - Novem ber 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Office of the State Public Defender (Expenditures Include a costallocation of state administrative services to each regional office) |  |  |  | District Attorney <br> (Budget does NOT include any state level AG or CDAC services funded by the State) |  |  |  |
| District | Atty FTE | Other FTE | TtI FTE | FY22 Expenditures | Atty FTE | Other FTE | TtI FTE | CY22 Budget |
| 1st | 43 | 32 | 75 | \$9,161,144 | 74 | 106.1 | 180.1 | \$26,305,924 |
| 2nd | 76 | 55 | 131 | \$15,658,156 | 109 | 164 | 273 | \$36,078,210 |
| 3rd | 6 | 5 | 11 | \$1,202,473 | 6 | 15 | 21 | \$1,762,933 |
| 4th | 76 | 55.8 | 131.8 | \$14,621,219 | 92 | 151 | 243 | \$18,111,338 |
| 5th | 6 | 5 | 11 | \$1,448,167 | 14 | 22 | 36 | \$4,998,522 |
| 6th \& 22nd | 12 | 9 | 21 | \$2,684,414 | 15 | 31 | 46 | \$3,616,627 |
| 7th | 11 | 8 | 19 | \$2,348,520 | 15 | 17 | 32 | \$2,771,294 |
| 8th | 24 | 18 | 42 | \$5,510,417 | 41.3 | 54 | 95.3 | \$11,213,274 |
| 9th | 7 | 6 | 13 | \$1,604,802 | 15 | 25 | 40 | \$4,436,087 |
| 10th | 26 | 19.6 | 45.6 | \$5,176,987 | 28 | 44 | 72 | \$5,448,839 |
| 11th | 11 | 8 | 19 | \$2,241,530 | 9 | 17 | 26 | \$2,510,912 |
| 12th | 10 | 7 | 17 | \$1,949,123 | 6 | 6 | 12 | \$1,321,801 |
| 13th | 10 | 7 | 17 | \$2,549,587 | 10.5 | 12 | 22.5 | \$2,291,181 |
| 14th | 6 | 5 | 11 | \$1,723,575 | 10 | 9 | 19 | \$2,098,692 |
| 15th \& 16th | 9 | 6 | 15 | \$2,008,435 | 9 | 15 | 24 | \$2,008,261 |
| 17th | 50 | 39 | 89 | \$10,520,863 | 93 | 126 | 218 | \$27,626,033 |
| 18th | 61 | 48 | 109 | \$12,499,211 | 102 | 151 | 253 | \$26,914,443 |
| 19th | 30 | 23 | 53 | \$6,036,482 | 34 | 46 | 80 | \$9,340,951 |
| 20th | 20 | 14.4 | 34.4 | \$4,190,002 | 38 | 67.8 | 105.8 | \$12,549,353 |
| 21st | 23 | 19 | 42 | \$5,239,429 | 25 | 49 | 74 | \$6,719,546 |
| Total | 517 | 389.8 | 906.8 | \$108,374,535 | 745.3 | 1127.4 | 1872.6 | \$208,124,221 |

PD - Increase since 2015 report - $\$ 34$ million ( $46 \%$ ) \& 80 Attorney FTE (18\%) DA - Increase since 2015 report - $\$ 64$ million (45\%) \& 140 Attorney FTE (23\%)

## II. Total FTE and Payroll by Judicial District

| District | Office of the State Public Defender <br> (FTE \& Payroll) |  |  |  | District Attorney <br> (FTE \& Payroll) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Other |  |  |  | Other |  | CY22 Budget- |
|  | Atty FTE | FTE | TtI FTE | FY22 Payroll | Atty FTE | FTE | TtI FTE | Payroll |
| 1st | 43 | 32 | 75 | \$7,278,053 | 74 | 106.1 | 180.1 | \$22,216,055 |
| 2nd | 76 | 55 | 131 | \$12,433,834 | 109 | 164 | 273 | \$29,618,438 |
| 3rd | 6 | 5 | 11 | \$987,754 | 6 | 15 | 21 | \$1,336,250 |
| 4th | 76 | 55.8 | 131.8 | \$11,660,657 | 92 | 151 | 243 | \$17,360,291 |
| 5th | 6 | 5 | 11 | \$1,131,709 | 14 | 22 | 36 | \$4,579,549 |
| 6th \& 22nd | 12 | 9 | 21 | \$2,111,332 | 15 | 31 | 46 | \$3,124,102 |
| 7th | 11 | 8 | 19 | \$1,869,701 | 15 | 17 | 32 | \$2,511,019 |
| 8th | 24 | 18 | 42 | \$4,445,944 | 41.3 | 54 | 95.3 | \$10,002,462 |
| 9th | 7 | 6 | 13 | \$1,278,250 | 15 | 25 | 40 | \$3,956,022 |
| 10th | 26 | 19.6 | 45.6 | \$4,273,535 | 28 | 44 | 72 | \$5,177,499 |
| 11th | 11 | 8 | 19 | \$1,801,755 | 9 | 17 | 26 | \$2,058,948 |
| 12th | 10 | 7 | 17 | \$1,606,464 | 6 | 6 | 12 | \$940,267 |
| 13th | 10 | 7 | 17 | \$1,693,024 | 10.5 | 12 | 22.5 | \$1,928,114 |
| 14th | 6 | 5 | 11 | \$1,311,895 | 10 | 9 | 19.0 | \$1,891,291 |
| 15 th \& 16th | 9 | 6 | 15 | \$1,623,663 | 9 | 15 | 24 | \$1,803,530 |
| 17th | 50 | 39 | 89 | \$8,520,530 | 92.5 | 125.5 | 218 | \$25,083, 011 |
| 18th | 61 | 48 | 109 | \$9,891,104 | 102 | 151 | 253 | \$24,329,357 |
| 19th | 30 | 23 | 53 | \$4,804,637 | 34 | 46 | 80 | \$7,557,518 |
| 20th | 20 | 14.4 | 34.4 | \$3,292,156 | 38 | 67.75 | 105.75 | \$12,135,137 |
| 21st | 23 | 19 | 42 | \$4,126,971 | 25 | 49 | 74 | \$6,473,537 |
| Total | 517 | 389.8 | 906.8 | \$86,142,969 | 745.3 | 1127.4 | 1872.6 | \$184,082,397 |
| PD - Doesn't include OSPD Appellate Division |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## III. Analysis of the Total Attorney FTE by Judicial District

| District | $\begin{gathered} \text { OSPD } \\ \text { Attorney FTE } \end{gathered}$ | DA Attorney FTE | \% PD to DA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | 43 | 74 | 58\% |
| 2nd | 76 | 109 | 70\% |
| 3rd | 6 | 6 | 100\% |
| 4th | 76 | 92 | 83\% |
| 5th | 6 | 14 | 43\% |
| 6th \& 22nd | 12 | 15 | 80\% |
| 7th | 11 | 15 | 73\% |
| 8th | 24 | 41 | 58\% |
| 9th | 7 | 15 | 47\% |
| 10th | 26 | 28 | 93\% |
| 11th | 11 | 9 | 122\% |
| 12th | 10 | 6 | 167\% |
| 13th | 10 | 11 | 95\% |
| 14th | 6 | 10 | 60\% |
| 15th \& 16th | 9 | 9 | 100\% |
| 17th | 50 | 93 | 54\% |
| 18th | 61 | 102 | 60\% |
| 19th | 30 | 34 | 88\% |
| 20th | 20 | 38 | 53\% |
| 21st | 23 | 25 | 92\% |
| Total | 517 | 745 | 69\% |
| PD - Increase since 2015 report - 80 Attorney FTE (18\%) <br> DA - Increase since 2015 report - 140 Attorney FTE (23\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## IV. Analysis of Total Attorney FTE at entry level pay grade \& those with less than 4 years

DA - approximately 197 out of 745 attorney FTE (26\%) are at entry level salary
PD - approximately 301 out of 517 attorney FTE (58\%) are at entry level salary

DA - approximately 269 out of 745 attorney FTE (36\%) have less than 4 years of service
PD - approximately 301 out of 517 attorney FTE (58\%) have less than 4 years of service

|  | FTE @ Entry Level |  | FTE < 4 yrs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | OSPD | DA | OSPD | DA |
| 1st | 23 | 17 | 23 | 17 |
| 2nd | 40 | 32 | 40 | 32 |
| 3rd | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 4th | 58 | 16 | 58 | 64 |
| 5th | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 |
| 6th 2 22nd | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| 7th | 8 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| 8th | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| 9th | 3 | 6 | 3 | 6 |
| 10th | 14 | 12 | 14 | 21 |
| 11th | 5 | $* *$ | 5 | $* *$ |
| 12th | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 13th | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 14th | 1 | 8 | 1 | 8 |
| 15th \& 16th | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 17th | 28 | 20 | 28 | 34 |
| 18th | 42 | 25 | 42 | 25 |
| 19th | 20 | 8 | 20 | 8 |
| 20th | 10 | 6 | 10 | 6 |
| 21st | 15 | 6 | 15 | 6 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ |
|  | $58 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $36 \%$ |

[^0]Note: Entry level for the OSPD includes all attorneys with less than four years of experience. For purposes of this comparison we initially show the number of attorneys included in the entry level classification range for both PD and DA and then show for the DA offices the number of attorneys where average years of service for that class was reported as less than four years.

## V. Averages: Service and Costs

## Office of the State Public Defender:

- Average years of Service/Salary for OSPD attorney
$\checkmark$ Approximately 5.5 years at $\$ 85,619$
- Average Cost per Active OSPD Case
$\checkmark \quad \$ 646.00$ per active case (includes all case types)
- Average hourly rate per OSPD attorney
$\checkmark \quad \$ 56.11$ with salary and benefits (includes trial and appellate attorneys)
$\checkmark \$ 79.45$ with salary and benefits and all administrative overhead costs (includes trial and appellate attorneys)


## District Attorney:

- The average years of service \& salary, hourly rate, and cost per active case cannot be calculated with information available.

Note: Attorney General hourly billable blended rate for services to state departments - \$105.74/hr.

## Comparisons by Judicial District

## Office of the State Public Defender - Golden Office - 1st District



Office of the State Public Defender- Denver Office - 2nd District


## Office of the State Public Defender - Trinidad Office - 3rd District

| Expenditures - Fiscal Year 2022 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries <br> Benefits (PERA, insurance, etc.) <br> Operating (Includes leases, IT \& mandat |  | Total | Amount <br> $\$ 743,970$ <br> $\$ 243,784$ <br> $\$ 214,719$ <br> $\$ 1202473$ |
| November 2022 (FY23) |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions <br> Managing Deputy / Office Head <br> Lead Public Defender <br> Deputy Public Defender <br> Total Attorney Positions <br> Non-Attorney Positions Total Positions | Avg Annual <br> Salary <br> $\$ 128,472$ <br> $\$ 144,648$ <br> $\$ 67,536$ | Salary Range <br> Minimum <br> $\$ 128,472$ <br> $\$ 96,432$ <br> $\$ 66,192$ | Salary Range Maximum $\$ 192,708$ $\$ 144,648$ $\$ 97,308$ |
| District Attorney - 3rd District |  |  |  |
| Budget - Calendar Year 2022 |  |  |  |
| Personnel Services <br> Operating Expenses <br> (Includes VOCA \& Juvenile Grants) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Las Animas } \\ \$ 1,022,516 \\ \$ 312,283 \\ \$ 1,334,799 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Huerfano } \\ & \$ 313,734 \\ & \$ 114,400 \\ & \$ 428,134 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total Amount } \\ \$ 1,336,250 \\ \$ 426,683 \\ \$ 1,762,933 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| November 2022 |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions | Avg Annual Salary | Salary Range Minimum | Salary Range Maximum |
| Elected DA | \$130,000 |  |  |
| Assistant DA | \$104,000 | \$93,000 | \$104,000 |
| Senior District Attorney | \$92,000 | \$82,000 | \$100,000 |
| District Ct Deputy | \$68,000 | \$74,000 | \$82,000 |
| County Ct Deputy | \$63,000 | \$64,000 | \$74,000 |
| Total Attorney Positions |  |  |  |
| Non Attorney Positions Total Positions |  |  |  |

## Office of the State Public Defender - Colorado Springs - 4th District


*DA Budget operating expenses are significantly reduced by county contributions

## Office of the State Public Defender - Dillon Office - 5th District



## Office of the State Public Defender - Durango Office - 6th \& 22nd Districts

| Expenditures - Fiscal Year 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries <br> Benefits (PERA, insurance, etc.) <br> Operating (Includes leases, $\Pi$ \& mandated costs) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & \$ 1,527,852 \\ & \$ 583,480 \\ & \$ 573,082 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Total \$2,684,414 |  |  |  |
| November 2022 (FY23) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions Managing Deputy / Office Head Supervising Public Defender Senior Public Defender Deputy Public Defender | FTE <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 5 <br> 5 | Avg Yrs <br> Service <br> 20.4 <br> 16.1 <br> 6.6 <br> 2.7 | Avg Annual Salary $\$ 179,592$ $\$ 129,900$ $\$ 82,800$ $\$ 72,840$ |  | Salary Range <br> Maximum <br> $\$ 192,708$ <br> $\$ 171,900$ <br> $\$ 117,768$ <br> $\$ 97,308$ |
| Attorney Positions <br> Non-Attorney Positions Total Positions | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12 \\ 9 \\ \hline 21 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| District Attorney - 6th \& 22nd Districts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget - Calendar Year 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel Services Operating Expenses |  |  | 6th District $\$ 2,290,644$ | 22nd District \$833,458 | Total Amount $\$ 3,124,102$ |
|  |  |  | \$381,110 | \$111,415 | \$492,525 |
|  |  |  | \$2,671,754 | \$944,873 | \$3,616,627 |
| November 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Attorney Positions -6th DistElected DAAssistant DADA IVDA IIIDA IIDA I | FTE | Avg Yrs Service | Avg Annual Salary | Salary Range Minimum | Salary Range Maximum |
|  | 1 | 17.0 | \$130,000 | \$130,000 |  |
|  | 1 | 10.0 | \$130,000 | \$118,898 <br> \$92,169 <br> \$85,738 <br> \$79,757 <br> \$74,192 | \$178,347 |
|  | 3 | 10.0 | \$94,100 |  | \$138,253 |
|  | 2 | 4.0 | \$85,738 |  | \$128,608 |
|  | 1 | 1.5 | \$79,757 |  | \$119,635 |
|  | 2 | 0.5 | \$74,192 |  | \$111,288 |
| Total Atty Positions - 6th 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non Atty Positions -6 th  <br> Total 16 <br> 26  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions - 22nd    <br> Elected DA 1 8.0 $\$ 135,250$ |  |  |  | \$135,250 |  |
| Assistant DA | 1 | vacant |  | \$87,000 | \$110,000 |
| District Ct DA | 1 | 1.0 | \$75,000 | \$70,000 | \$87,000 |
| County Ct DA | 2 | 6.0 | \$75,000 | \$60,000 | \$75,000 |
| Total Atty Positions - 22th 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non Atty Positions - 22th 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Positions - 22nd 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined 6th \& 22nd |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Attorney Positions | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Positions | 46 |  |  |  |  |

## Office of the State Public Defender - Montrose Office - 7th District

| Expenditures - Fiscal Year 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries <br> Benefits (PERA, insurance, etc.) <br> Operating (Includes leases, IT \& mandated |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Amount } \\ \$ 1,354,592 \\ \$ 515,110 \\ \$ 478,819 \end{array}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$2,348,520 |
| November 2022 (FY23) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions <br> Managing Deputy / Office Head Supervising Public Defender Lead Public Defender Deputy Public Defender | $\begin{gathered} \text { FTE } \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | Avg Yrs Service 10.3 11.1 16.3 1.0 | Avg Annual Salary \$132,324 \$114,600 \$144,648 \$66,684 | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Salary Range } \\ \text { Minimum } \\ \$ 128,472 \\ \$ 114,600 \\ \$ 96,432 \\ \$ 66,192 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Salary Range } \\ \text { Maximum } \end{array} \\ \$ 192,708 \\ \$ 171,900 \\ \$ 144,648 \\ \$ 97,308 \end{gathered}$ |
| Attorney Positions <br> Non-Attorney Positions Total Positions | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 8 \\ \hline 19 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| District Attorney - 7th District |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget - Calendar Year 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel Services Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & \$ 2,511,019 \\ & \$ 260,275 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$2,771,294 |
| November 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions | FTE | Avg Yrs Service | Avg Annual Salary | Salary Range Minimum | Salary Range Maximum |
| Elected DA | 1 | 15 | \$145,000 | \$145 |  |
| Assistant DA | 1 | 4 | \$102,000 | \$95,400 | \$110,000 |
| Chief DDA |  | 14 | \$94,500 | \$94,500 | \$94,500 |
| District DDA | 5 | 5.4 | \$84,113 | \$77,850 | \$91,344 |
| Mixed DDA | 1 | 0.4 | \$83,000 | \$80,000 | \$80,000 |
| County DDA | 6 | 1.9 | \$74,707 | \$72,500 | \$80,000 |
| Attorney Positions | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Attorney Positions Total Positions | $\frac{17}{32}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Office of the State Public Defender - Fort Collins Office - 8th District (Excludes Jackson County)



## Office of the State Public Defender - Glenwood Springs Office - 9th District



## Office of the State Public Defender - Pueblo Office - 10th District


*DA Budget operating expenses are significantly reduced by county contributions

Office of the State Public Defender - Salida Office - 11th District


Office of the State Public Defender - Alamosa Office - 12th District


## Office of the State Public Defender - Sterling Office - 13th District



## Office of the State Public Defender - Steamboat Springs Office - 14th District (Includes Jackson County)

| Expenditures - Fiscal Year 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Amount |
| Salaries <br> Benefits (PERA, insurance, etc.) |  |  |  |  | \$970,356 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$341,539 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$411,680 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$1,723,575 |
| November 2022 (FY23) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions <br> Managing Deputy / Office Head Lead Public Defender Deputy Public Defender | FTE | Avg Yrs Service | Avg Annual Salary | Salary Range Minimum | Salary Range Maximum |
|  | 1 | 27.0 | \$192,708 | \$128,472 | \$192,708 |
|  | 4 | 10.7 | \$104,016 | \$96,432 | \$144,648 |
|  | 1 | 0.9 | \$66,192 | \$66,192 | \$97,308 |
| Attorney Positions 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Attorney Positions | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Positions | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| District Attorney - 14th District (Excludes Jackson County) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget - Calendar Year 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Moffat Routt |  |  | Personnel | Operating | Total |
|  |  |  | \$540,599 | \$72,413 | \$613,012 |
|  |  |  | \$456,572 | \$38,568 | \$495,140 |
|  |  |  | \$894,120 | \$96,420 | \$990,540 |
|  |  |  | \$1,891,291 | \$207,401 | \$2,098,692 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$2,098,692 |
| November 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions <br> Elected DA <br> Assistant DA <br> Deputy DA <br> Deputy DA-vacant | FTE | Avg Yrs Service | Avg Annual Salary | Salary Range Minimum | Salary Range Maximum |
|  | 1 |  | \$150,000 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  | \$135,820 |  |  |
|  | 7 |  | \$81,397 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions | 10 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | data not provided |  |
| Non-Attorney Positions Non-Attorney Positions | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19 |  |  |  |  |

## Office of the State Public Defender - La Junta Office - 15th \& 16th Districts

| November 2022 (FY23) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attorney Positions | FTE | Avg Yrs Service | Avg Annual Salary | Salary Range Minimum | Salary Range Maximum |
| Managing Deputy / Office Head | 1 | 24.6 | \$192,708 | \$128,472 | \$192,708 |
| Supervising Public Defender | 1 | 8.9 | \$114,600 | \$114,600 | \$171,900 |
| Lead Public Defender | 3 | 17.4 | \$126,300 | \$96,432 | \$144,648 |
| Senior Public Defender | 1 | 6.0 | \$82,512 | \$80,112 | \$117,768 |
| Deputy Public Defender | 3 | 2.4 | \$66,192 | \$66,192 | \$97,308 |
| Attorney Positions | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Attorney Positions | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Positions | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| District Attorney -15th \& 16th Districts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget - Calendar Year 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel Services <br> Operating (no lease or utilities) |  |  | 15th District | 16th District | Total Amount |
|  |  | from 2019 | \$639,374 | \$1,164,156 | \$1,803,530 |
|  |  | from 2019 | \$70,233 | \$134,498 | \$204,731 |
|  |  |  | \$709,607 | \$1,298,654 | \$2,008,261 |
| November 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions - 15th | FTE | Avg Yrs Service | Avg Annual Salary | Salary Range Minimum | Salary Range Maximum |
| Elected DA | 1 | 9 | \$130,000 |  |  |
| Assistant DA | 1 | 19 | \$120,000 | \$95,000 | \$120,000 |
| Deputy DA I | 1 |  |  | \$70,000 | \$60,000 |
| Deputy DA I - vacant | 1 |  |  | \$70,000 | \$60,000 |
| Attorney Positions | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Attorney Positions | 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elected DA | 1 | 10 | \$130,000 |  |  |
| Assistant DA | 1 | 10 | \$107,625 |  |  |
| Chief Deputy DA | 1 | 13 | \$90,000 |  |  |
| Deputy DA | 2 | 2 | \$63,440 |  |  |
| Attorney Positions |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ranges not provided |  |
| Non-Attorney Positions | 11 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Combined 15th \& 16th |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney Positions | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Attorney Positions | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Positions | 24 |  |  |  |  |

## Office of the State Public Defender - Brighton Office - 17th District



## Office of the State Public Defender - Arapahoe \& Douglas County Offices - 18th District



## Office of the State Public Defender - Greeley Office - 19th District


*DA Budget operating expenses are significantly reduced by county contributions

## Office of the State Public Defender - Boulder Office - 20th District



## Office of the State Public Defender - Grand Junction Office - 21st District



[^1]
[^0]:    ** The 11th did not provide breakout of FTE positions by level

[^1]:    *DA Budget operating expenses are significantly reduced by county contributions

